UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/955,525	09/18/2001	Leonard Alan Collins	171328.01	8577	
22971 7590 11/19/2007 MICROSOFT CORPORATION ONE MICROSOFT WAY			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			SHAW, PEL	SHAW, PELING ANDY	
REDMOND, WA 98052-6399			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2144		
		,	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/19/2007	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

roks@microsoft.com ntovar@microsoft.com a-rydore@microsoft.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s).			
	09/955,525	COLLINS, LEONARD ALAN			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	D.E. A. Ob.				
TI MANUNO DATE Africa a manunication an	Peling A. Shaw	2144			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the t	correspondence address			
This application is abandoned in view of:					
<ul> <li>I. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 12 March 2007.</li> <li>(a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on</li> </ul>					
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.					
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).					
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).					
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.					
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.					
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).					
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.					
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.	(b) No corrected drawings have been received.				
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.					
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.					
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.					
7. 🏻 The reason(s) below:					
Joshua Janney has contacted Alan Collins' attorney office and confirmed the abandonment of durrent application on 10/23/2007.  SUPERIOR PATENT EVALUATION OF THE CHANGLOGY CELLS OF THE					
minimize any negative effects on patent term.					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	of Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 20071024			